

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,464	10/15/2003	Jean-Claude Hauer	Q74306	4684
23373	7590 12/06/2006		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		v.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/684,464	HAUER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Basil Katcheves	3635
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-14,18-21 and 25-32 is/are pendid 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8-14,18-21 and 25-32 is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration ted.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original of the correction and the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction of the correc	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

The applicant has cancelled claims 7, 15-17 and 22-24. Pending claims 1-6, 8-14, 18-21 and 25-32 are examined below.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The plane coordinates provide no reference to each other and are confusing.

Claim 27 appears to be missing the word "with" in line 7. Clarification is required.

Claim 28 recites the limitation "said seal" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 19, and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,012,885 to Lovatt.

Regarding claim 1, 27, 30, 31, Lovatt discloses a closing device comprising a frame (fig. 1: surrounding door), a cover (fig. 1: door), a frame hinge knuckle (fig. 5: hatched area where 30 points), the hinge knuckle having a hinge surface (fig. 5: surface

Control Number: 10/004,40

Art Unit: 3635

area inside where 29 points) and a pass through hinge knuckle opening (fig. 5: top opening of knuckle where numeral 46 is and adjacent to top of 30) between the outside and inside, the cover includes a hinge knuckle (fig. 5: hatched member where 44 points), with a pivot that extends into the outer area (fig 5: area top surface where 43 points and is exposed to the exterior), when the cover is closed, and an inserted plug (fig. 5: 48) positioned in the inner recess and separate from the cover.

Regarding claim 3, Lovatt discloses the plug as capable of evacuating dirt from outside by being lifted up, thus allowing dirt to fall into the frame knuckle through the hinge knuckle opening.

Regarding claim 6, 12, Lovatt discloses the hinge knuckle opening as delimiting at least a blocking surface of the cover when open.

Regarding claim 9, 19, Lovatt discloses the door as being capable of performing as a man hole.

Regarding claim 28, Lovatt discloses the seal of the knuckle group as blocking dirt.

Regarding claim 29, Lovatt discloses the capability of the seal contacting dirt when the door is open and sweeping the dirt outside the knuckle.

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 2,012,885 to Lovatt.

Regarding claims 2, 26, and 32, Lovatt does not disclose the plug as made from an elastomer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lovatt by using an elastomeric material, since Lovatt discloses the use of elastomer gaskets, in order to creat a tighter seal.

Regarding claims 4, 8, Lovatt discloses the knuckle as elastic as per the rejection of claim 2. Lovatt also discloses the means for evacuating dirt as being the element (48) movable between a blocking position (closed) and an open position which allows dirt to pass through into the opening.

Regarding claim 5, Lovatt discloses the frame as being on one plane and the movable sealing lip (edge of 48) bending around a different plane.

Regarding claim 10, Lovatt discloses the plug as capable of evacuating dirt from outside by being lifted up, thus allowing dirt to fall into the frame knuckle through the hinge knuckle opening.

Regarding claim 11, 13, 14, Lovatt discloses the hinge knuckle opening as delimiting at least a blocking surface of the cover when open.

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claims 18, 20, 21, Lovatt discloses the device as being capable of use

Page 5

as a manhole.

Regarding claim 25, Lovatt discloses the plug as made from a single piece.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/23/06 have been fully considered but are moot

under new grounds of rejections necessitated by the applicant's amendment.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is

(571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30

am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Naoko Slack, can be reached at (571) 272-6848.

BK

12/4/06

Basil Katcheves

Examiner AU 3635